

Published on Web 12/15/2010

Photoreduction of Carbon Dioxide to Carbon Monoxide with Hydrogen Catalyzed by a Rhenium(I) Phenanthroline–Polyoxometalate Hybrid Complex

Jessica Ettedgui,[†] Yael Diskin-Posner,[‡] Lev Weiner,[‡] and Ronny Neumann^{*,†}

Department of Organic Chemistry and, Chemical Research Support Unit, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100

Received August 31, 2010; E-mail: Ronny.Neumann@weizmann.ac.il

Abstract: A phenanthroline ligand decorated at the 5,6-position with a 15-crown-5 ether was used to prepare a metalorganicpolyoxometalate hybrid complex Re^I(L)(CO)₃CH₃CN-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ (L = 15-crown-5-phenanthroline, $M = Na^+$, H_3O^+). X-ray diffraction, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, ESI-MS, IR, and elemental analysis were used to characterize this complex. In the presence of Pt/C, the polyoxometalate moiety in Re^I(L)(CO)₃CH₃CN-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ can oxidize H_2 to two protons and two electrons which in the presence of visible light can catalyze the photoreduction of CO₂ to CO with H₂ as the reducing agent instead of the universally used amines as sacrificial reducing agents. An EPR spectrum of a stable intermediate species under reaction conditions shows characteristics of a $PW^{V}W^{VI}_{11}O_{40}$ and a Re^{0} species with a tentative assignment of the intermediate as Re⁰(L)(CO)₃(S)-MH₃PW^VW^{VI}₁₁O₄₀.

The extensive use of fossil fuels over the past century has likely led to a significant increase in the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere and has mandated intensive efforts for the realization of alternative energy resources.¹ A great deal of this effort is directed to the utilization of solar energy. One enticing topic in this broad endeavor is the solar photoreduction of CO₂ to various higher energy products so as to store solar energy as chemical energy and create renewable fuels.² Since one-electron reduction of CO₂ to CO₂^{•-} is energetically highly unfavorable, the energetically more favorable pathway is to use proton assisted multiple-electron transfer that can be realized with visible light. A common motif in this area is to utilize a transition-metal coordination compound as a photocatalyst. Examples of photocatalysts that require a photosensitizer are cobalt and nickel tetraaza-macrocycles,³ while iron and cobalt prophyrins and similar unsaturated macrocycles⁴ and rhenium bipyridine complexes⁵ do not require photosensitizers. Enzyme catalysts have also recently been described for such photoreductions.⁶ A very significant drawback in the utilization of these photocatalysts has been that sacrificial reducing agents, typically trialkylamines and trialkanolamines, are essential for the photoreduction reaction.^{7,8} Therefore, an important goal would be to replace these sacrificial reducing agents with a more renewable resource.

Since the earlier reports by Lehn and co-workers^{5a,b} on the use of $[Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}X]$ (X = Cl, Br; and L = bipyridine, phenanthroline) as photocatalysts in solution for selective reduction of CO₂ to CO, such Re(I) complexes are being extensively investigated although there is apparently still no consensus on the mechanism of formation of CO.^{5d} Upon irradiation, [Re^I(bipy)(CO)₃X] is promoted to a triplet metal-to-ligand charge transfer (³MLCT) excited state which is reductively quenched by a sacrificial tertiary amine electron donor to yield the catalytically active $[{Re}^{I}(bpy^{\bullet-})(CO)_{3}S]^{-,9}$ The next steps are thought to involve formation of a Re-CO2 adduct and protonation leading to a rhenium metalacarboxylic acid intermediate. Further reduction requires an additional electron and proton to yield CO and H₂O. Binuclear rhenium intermediates have also been proposed to explain the reduction of the metalacarboxylic acid intermediate.5g,h

The objective of this research was to replace amine sacrificial donors by hydrogen (H₂) in the [Re^I(L)(CO)₃X] photocatalyzed reduction of CO₂ to CO and H₂O. Analysis of the mechanistic information available as very briefly summarized above suggests that H₂ could be utilized for such reactions if oxidized to two protons and two electrons. In fact it is known from the literature that polyoxometalates can oxidize H₂ in such a way especially in the presence of Pt(0).¹⁰ The concept of replacing the sacrificial tertiary amine reducing agent by H₂ is summarized in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Proposed Photoreduction of CO₂ with H₂

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_{3}^{*}[PW^{VI}_{12}O_{40}]^{3-} &+ H_{2} & \underbrace{Pt(0)}_{H_{5}} & H_{5}^{*}[PW^{V}_{2}W^{VI}_{10}O_{40}]^{5-} \\ \hline \\ CO_{2} &+ H_{5}^{*}[PW^{V}_{2}W^{VI}_{10}O_{40}]^{5-} & \underbrace{Re^{Ib}py(CO)_{3}X}_{Iight} & CO &+ H_{2}O &+ H_{3}^{*}[PW^{VI}_{12}O_{40}]^{3-} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ CO_{2} &+ H_{2} & \underbrace{light}_{catalyst} & CO &+ H_{2}O \end{array}$$

In this context it is notable that the reaction in its entirety is a reverse water gas shift reaction; however, at ambient temperature the reaction is thermodynamically very unfavorable; ΔG_r (25 °C) = 6.81 kcal/mol ($K_{eg} = 9.7 \times 10^{-6}$).¹¹ A photochemical transformation at 298 K is, therefore, a viable alternative.

It has also been shown in the past that polyoxometalates can be combined with coordination compounds to form metalorganicpolyoxometalate hybrid complexes with advantageous catalytic activity.¹² Relevant to this research is the possibility of preparing 1,10-phenanthroline decorated at the 5,6-position with a 15-crown-5 ether group so that the phenanthroline moiety can be used to prepare the needed Re(I) complex and the crown ether group is available for complexation of Keggin-type polyoxometalates.¹³ This leads to the formation of a Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ compound where L = 5,6-(15-crown-5)-1,10-phenanthroline, M = H_3O^+ ; Na⁺, Scheme 2.

Mixing acetonitrile solutions of $\text{Re}^{I}(L)(\text{CO})_{3}\text{Cl}$ and $\text{H}_{3}\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$ followed by slow evaporation of the solvent led to the formation of $Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$. The IR spectrum (see Supporting Information, SI) showed that both of the component parts were indeed present as expected. The ESI-MS of Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ dissolved in aqueous methanol in the positive ion mode showed a cluster of peaks at m/z = 682.04attributable to a Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN) fragment, while the ESI-

[†] Department of Organic Chemistry. [‡] Chemical Research Support Unit.

Scheme 2. Preparation of Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ (W, black; P, green; O, red)



MS (SI) in the negative ion mode showed a major cluster of peaks centered at m/z = 959 attributable to the $[PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-}$ (z = 3) fragment. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR in CD₃CN and CD₃CON(CD₃)₂ (SI) supports the formulation of the Re^I(L)(CO)₃(solvent) fragment. Finally, we were able to crystallize Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)–MHPW₁₂O₄₀;¹⁴ an X-ray structure is presented in Figure 1. The



Figure 1. Ball and stick structure of $Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)_{2}$ -NaHPW₁₂O₄₀•3CH₃CN•3.5H₂O showing the complexation of two PW₁₂O₄₀ units to Na. H atoms and solvent molecules are not shown. C, black; N, blue; O, red; P, purple; Na, yellow; Re, green; W, gray.

more notable features of the structure are that (1) each crown ether moiety complexes a sodium cation, which is in turn binding two $PW_{12}O_{40}$ moieties, (2) the complexation of two polyoxometalates to each Na atom lengthens the Na–O bonds (up to 2.94 Å) which is compensated by CH₃CN as a ligand to Na, and (3) the hybrid complex forms a 1D polymer (Figure S1).

In order to carry out the photocatalytic studies 0.5 μ mol of Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ was dissolved in 0.5 mL of dimethyacetamide (DMA)¹⁵ in a 5 mL Pyrex pressure tube with a filter allowing light transmission at \geq 380 nm; \sim 20 μ g of 5% Pt/C was added, the mixture was purged and then brought to 1 bar with 99.99% CO₂, and then an additional 2 bar of H₂ was added. The magnetically stirred suspension was irradiated at room temperature with a 150 W xenon lamp for 14 h.

Analysis of the gas phase of the reaction mixture by GC-TCD showed the average formation of 11.3 μ mol of CO (22.6 turnovers, quantum yield 0.011) and a small amount of CH₄ (0.5 μ mol, 1.0 turnover), Table 1, entry 1. Analysis of the liquid phase by GC-FID and GC-MS did not detect the formation of additional products such as CH₃OH, CH₂O, or HCOOH. A similar reaction using 5% Pt/Al₂O₃ in place of Pt/C was less efficient yielding only 2.7 μ mol of CO (5.4 turnovers), Table 1, entry 2. Various control reactions were carried out to verify that the CO formed indeed was from CO₂ and not the DMA solvent or catalyst (entries 3 and 4).

Table 1.	Photoreduction of CO ₂ Catalyzed b	\$
Re ^I (L)(C	O) ₃ (CH ₃ CN)-MHPW ₁₂ O ₄₀ Using H ₂ ^e	á

	Catalyst	CO, µmol	Comments
1	Re ^I (L)(CO) ₃ (CH ₃ CN)-MHPW ₁₂ O ₄₀	11.3 ^b	
2	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$	5.4	Pt/Al ₂ O ₃
3	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	No CO ₂
4	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	No CO ₂ , no H ₂
5	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	No Pt/C
6	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)$	ND	
7	$H_{3}PW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	
8	$Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(CH_{3}CN)-MHPW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	No light
9	Re^{I} (phenanthroline)(CO) ₃ (Cl) and $H_{3}PW_{12}O_{40}$	ND	-

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: catalyst (0.5 μ mol), DMA (0.5 mL), 20 μ g Pt/ C, CO₂ (1 bar), H₂ (2 bar), 20 °C, 14 h under irradiation with a 150 W Xe lamp. ND: not detected. Analysis of products for gaseous products (CO, CO₂, and CH₄) was by GC-TCD using a Carbonplot capillary column. No products were detected in analysis of the liquid phase by GC-FID and GC-MS using a 5% phenymethylsilicone capillary column. ^{*b*} Average of five runs.

Additional control reactions verified that all the reaction components were needed for CO_2 reduction to CO (entries 5–8). Interestingly, a combination of a *nondecorated* complex and polyoxometalate, Re^{I} (**phenanthroline**)(CO)₃(Cl)–H₃PW₁₂O₄₀, (entry 9), did not yield detectable amounts of reduction products.

We were interested in obtaining some mechanistic insight into the possible reaction mechanism for CO₂ reduction. In reactions catalyzed by Re^I(bipy)(CO)₃X with sacrificial tertiary amine donors, it has been proposed^{5d} that the catalyst is photoactivated to the ³MLCT excited state and then reduced by R₃N to yield the radical anion, Re^I(bipy⁻)(CO)₃X. This key intermediate is then suggested to react with CO₂ in a mono- or bimolecular mechanism, eventually leading to formation of CO. As hypothesized in Scheme 1, [PW^{VI}₁₂O₄₀]³⁻ alone did react with H₂ in the presence of Pt(0) to yield a typical heteropoly blue with a λ_{max} at 656 nm. This sample was also EPR silent at 130 K as expected for singlet (antiferromagnetically coupled spins) [PW^V₂W^{V1}₁₀O₄₀]⁵⁻. On the other hand Re^I(L)(CO)₃(CH₃CN)-MHPW₁₂O₄₀ reacted in the presence of all the reaction components to yield a paramagnetic species. An X-band EPR spectrum at 130 K showed a complex spectrum, Figure 2.



Figure 2. X-band EPR at 130 K of $\text{Re}^{I}(L)(\text{CO})_{3}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{CN})$ -MHPW₁₂O₄₀ after exposure to typical reaction conditions (see footnote, Table 1). Microwave frequency, 9.36 GHz; microwave power, 20 mW; field modulation, 1 G; time constant, 1.3 s; scan time, 163.84 s.

There are two main features: a peak at g = 1.81 attributable to a isotropic spectrum of a doublet $[PW^{V}W^{VI}_{11}O_{40}]^{4-}$ fragment as described in the literature¹⁶ and a very broad anisotropic spectrum of a Re⁰ fragment.¹⁷ Most importantly, a ligand centered radical anion, g = 2.00, with a narrow peak width (50-100 G) was definitively not observed.¹⁸ The visible spectra (SI) of a Re⁰ compound¹⁷ and reduced polyoxometalate overlap and thus were not mechanistically informative; in the near-UV a peak at 325 nm after reaction is attributable to the reduced polyoxometalate as this peak is also observed when reacting H₃PW₁₂O₄₀ alone. The IR peaks for CO shifted to lower wavenumbers, 2004 and 1877 cm⁻¹ due to the higher charge density on Re, Figure S8.

From the results obtained one can assume that the slowly formed intermediate whose EPR spectrum is presented above is a stable species that reacted with CO₂ and led to its reduction. Therefore, a working hypothesis for the reduction of CO₂ to CO may be postulated, Scheme 3. H₂ is activated by Pt and is oxidized to two

Scheme 3. A Possible Pathway for the Photoreduction of CO₂ with H_2 to CO and H_2O with a $Re^{I}(\dot{L})(CO)_3(CH_3CN)$ -MHPW₁₂O₄₀ Catalyst



electrons and two protons and $Re^{I}(L)(CO)_{3}(S)$ is photoactivated to the ³MLCT excited state by visible light.¹⁹ Together these processes yield a Re⁰(L)(CO)₃(S)-MH₃PW^VW^{VI}₁₁O₄₀ species (blue in Scheme 3), likely by intramolecular electron transfer since only the hybrid compound is reactive (compare Table 1, entries 1 and 9), which is so tentatively assigned by its EPR spectrum. The remaining relatively fast reaction steps that were not observed are based on a monomolecular mechanism in the literature.^{5d}

Although the turnover frequency and quantum yield observed in the present reduction of CO₂ with H₂ is lower than has been reported for previous rhenium catalyzed photoreductions of CO₂ with amines,^{5,20} we feel that the use of H₂ in such systems represents an advance. Furthermore, the hybrid complex enables CO₂ reduction with H₂ using visible light as opposed to other semiconductor catalysts, e.g. Ga₂O₃, MgO, or TiO₂, that require UV irradiation.²¹ The concept of oxidation of H₂ by polyoxometalates and storage of the resulting electrons and protons on the polyoxometalate may also prove useful in a myriad of other photoreduction reactions that presently use amines as sacrificial reducing agents.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Divadol Foundation, the Israel Science Foundation, the Bernice and Peter Cohn Catalysis Research Fund, and the Helen and Martin Kimmel Center for Molecular Design. R.N. is the Rebecca and Israel Sieff Professor of Chemistry.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental details and additional spectra and characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Lewis, N. S.; Nocera, D. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2006, 103, 15729-15735
- (2) Benson, E. E.; Kubiak, C. P.; Sathrum, A. J.; Smieja, A. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 89-99.
- (3) (a) Tinnemans, A. H. A.; Koster, T. P. M.; Thewissen, D.; Mackor, A. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1984, 103, 288-295. (b) Matsuoka, S.; Yamamoto, K.; Ogata, T.; Kusaba, M.; Nakashima, N.; Fujita, E.; Yanagida, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 601-609. (c) Ogata, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Wada, Y.; Murakoshi, K.; Kusaba, M.; Nakashima, N.; Ishida, A.;
- Wada, Y.; Murakoshi, K.; Kusaba, M.; Nakashima, N.; Ishida, A.; Takamuku, S.; Yanagida, S. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 11916–11922.
 (4) (a) Grodkowski, J.; Behar, D.; Neta, P.; Hambright, P. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 248–254. (b) Behar, D.; Dhanasekaran, T.; Neta, P.; Hosten, C. M.; Ejeh, D.; Hambright, P.; Fujita, E. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 2870–2877. (c) Grodkowski, J.; Dhanasekaran, T.; Neta, P.; Hambright, P.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Shinozaki, K.; Fujita, E. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 11322 (1232) (d) Grodkowski, J.; Neta, P. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 11332-11339. (d) Grodkowski, J.; Neta, P. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 1848-1853. (e) Grodkowski, J.; Neta, P.; Fujita, E. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 4772-4778,
- (5) (a) Hawecker, J.; Lehn, J. M.; Ziessel, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 536–538. (b) Hawecker, J.; Lehn, J. M.; Ziessel, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 1990–2012. (c) Hayashi, Y.; Kita, S.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Fujita, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11976–11987. (d) Morris, A. J.; Meyer, G. J.; Fujita, E. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1983-1994. (e) Gholamkhas, B.; Mametsuka, H.; Koke, K.; Tanabe, T.; Furue, M.; Ishitani, O. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 2326–2336. (f) Kutal, C.; Weber, M. A.; Ferraudi, G.; Geiger, D. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2161–2166. (g) Takeda, H.; Koike, K.; Inoue, H.; Ishitani, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2023–2031. (h) Takeda, H.; Ishitani, O. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 346–354.
 (6) Woolerton, T. W.; Sheard, S.; Reisner, E.; Pierce, E.; Ragsdale, S. W.;
- Armstrong, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2132-2133
- For ideas on the preparation of recyclable amines, see: Richardson, R. D.; Carpenter, B. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3169-3180.
- (8) For CO₂ reduction by amines with a polyoxometalate catalyst, see: Khenkin, A. M.; Efremenko, I.; Weiner, L.; Martin, J. M. L.; Neumann, R. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 1356-1364.
- (9) Kalyanasundaram, K. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 1986, 82, 2401-2415.
- (10) (a) Misono, M. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1987, 23, 269-321. (b) Mizuno, N.; Katamura, K.; Yoneda, Y.; Misono, M. J. Catal. 1983, 83, 384-392. (c) Kogan, V.; Eizenshtat, Z.; Neumann, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3331-3334
- (11) The reverse water gas shift is more favored at high temperature: (500 K) $G_{\rm f} = 4.84$ kcal/mol ($K_{\rm eq} = 7.5 \times 10^{-3}$); (700 K) $\Delta G_{\rm f} = 3.05$ kcal/mol ($K_{\rm eq} = 0.11$). See also review on the WGS reaction: Jacobs, G.; Davis, B. H. Catalysis 2007, 20, 122-285.
- (12) (a) Siedle, A. R.; Markell, C. G.; Lyon, P. A.; Hodgson, K. O.; Roe, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 219-220. (b) Bar-Nahum, I.; Neumann, R. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2690-2691. (c) Bar-Nahum, I.; Khenkin, A. M.; Neumann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10236–10237. (d) Branytska, O. V.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Neumann, R. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3957–3959. (e) Luo, S.; Li, J.; Xu, H.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, J. P. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3675-3778
- (13) Ettedgui, J.; Neumann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4-5.
- (14) In fact two types of crystals grew, but the second type was too fragile to remove from solution. It is enticing to assign the second crystals as having an H₃O⁺ cation instead of Na⁺ since the latter was not added and probably scavenged from the solutions/glassware
- (15) DMF is often used as a solvent in Re^I(bipy)(CO)₃(X) catalyzed photoreductions of CO2 with tertiary amines; DMA gave better results.
- (16) Prados, R. A.; Pope, M. T. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 2547–2553.
 (17) Walker, H. W.; Rattinger, G. B.; Belford, R. L.; Brown, T. L. Organo-metallics 1983, 2, 775–776.
- (18) Schiering, T.; Klein, A.; Kaim, W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1997, 2569-2571
- (19) Note that although H₃PW₁₂O₄₀ is also a known photocatalyst. (Hiskia, A.; Mylonas, A.; Papaconstantinou, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2001, 30, 62-69) it is only excited by UV light. (20) It is known that H_2O slows the reaction and its presence (the catalyst is a
- hydrate) may be a reason for less turnover.
- (a) Teramura, K.; Tsuneoka, H.; Shishido, T.; Tanaka, T. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2008, 467, 191-194. (b) Kohno, Y.; Ishikawa, H.; Tanaka, T.; Funabiki, T.; Yoshida, S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2001, 3, 1108-1114. (c) Lo, C.-C.; Hung, C.-H.; Yuan, C.-S.; Wu, J.-F. Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells 2007, 91, 1765-1774.

JA1078199